



7-11 July 2014 ICEC25 /ICMC 2014 Conference University of Twente, The Netherlands



Beyond the Large Hadron Collider: a first look at cryogenics for CERN future circular colliders

Philippe Lebrun & Laurent Tavian, CERN





Contents

- Introduction: the European Strategy Update
 - Future circular hadron collider: FCC-hh
 - Future circular electron-positron collider: FCC-ee
- Cryogenic plant challenges
- Conclusion





European Strategy Update on Particle Physics Design studies and R&D at the energy frontier

"CERN should undertake design studies for accelerator projects in a global context, with emphasis on proton-proton and electron-positron high-energy frontier machines. These design studies should be coupled to a vigorous accelerator R&D programme, including high-field magnets and high-gradient accelerating structures, in collaboration with national institutes, laboratories and universities worldwide"

HFM







CLIC CDR and cost study (2012)



- 3 volumes: physics & detectors, accelerator complex, strategy, cost & schedule
- Collaborative effort: 40+ institutes worldwide





Presentation given at ICEC25 – ICMC2014, Enschede, July 2014





IEEE/CSC SUPERCONDUCTIVITY NEWS FORUM (global edition) July 2014



The Future Circular Colliders (FCC) design study Aiming for CDR and Cost Review for the next ESU (2018)



Geneva

galev.

- 80-100 km tunnel infrastructure in Geneva area
- design driven by pp-collider requirements
- with possibility of e+-e- (TLEP) and p-e (VLHeC)
- CERN-hosted study performed in international collaboration

$\begin{array}{l} 16 \text{ T} \Rightarrow 100 \text{ TeV} \text{ in } 100 \text{ km} \\ 20 \text{ T} \Rightarrow 100 \text{ TeV} \text{ in } 80 \text{ km} \end{array}$

LEGEND

HE_LHC 80km option potential shaft location

Structure of FCC study

Leader Michael Benedikt, Deputy Frank Zimmermann



Future Circular Colliders - Conceptual Design Study for next European Strategy Update (2018)

Infrastructure

tunnels, surface buildings, transport (access roads), civil engineering, cooling ventilation, electricity, cryogenics, communication & IT, fabrication and installation processes, maintenance, environmental impact and monitoring, safety





Phases of the FCC study







Beam parameters impacting FCC-hh cryogenics

Parameter	LHC	FCC-hh	Impact
c.m. Energy [TeV]	14	100	Synchrotron radiation (~ E ⁴)
Circumference C [km]	26.7	100 (83)	
Dipole field [T]	8.33	16 (20)	Resistive heating, stored energy, quench pressure relief
Straight sections	8	12	i.e. 12 arcs
Average straight section length [m]	528	1400	\rightarrow arc length: ~7 km (~5.5 km)
Number of IPs		2 + 2	Cryogenics for detectors (LHe, LAr)
Peak luminosity [10 ³⁴ cm-2s-1]	1	5	Secondaries from IPs
Beam current [A]	0.584	0.5	
RMS bunch length [cm]	7.55	8 (7.55)	
Stored beam energy [GJ]	0.392	8.4 (7.0)	Safety: release of He in tunnel
SR power per ring [MW]	0.0036	2.4 (2.9)	Large load and dynamic range
Arc SR heat load [W/m/aperture]	0.17	28.4 (44.3)	
Dipole coil aperture [mm]	56	40	Beam screen design
Beam aperture [mm]	~40	26	





The synchrotron radiation

- 28.4 W/m per beam for FCC-hh 100 km, i.e. a total load of 4.8 MW
- 44.3 W/m per beam for FCC-hh 83 km, i.e. a total load of 5.8 MW
- If this load is falling directly on the magnet cold masses working at 1.9 K or 4.5 K (not yet defined), the corresponding total electrical power to refrigerators is
 - > 4.3 or 1.1 GW for FCC-hh 100 km
 - > 5.2 or 1.3 GW for FCC-hh 83 km
- Beam screens are mandatory to stop the synchrotron radiation at a higher temperature reducing the electrical power to refrigerator.
 - \rightarrow Is there a optimum operating temperature?





Beam screen – cold mass thermodynamics



- Exergy load ΔE = measure of (ideal) refrigeration duty : $\Delta E = \Delta E_{cm} + \Delta E_{bs}$ $\Delta E = Q_{cm} \cdot (T_a/T_{cm} - 1) + Q_{bs} \cdot (T_a/T_{bs} - 1)$

- Real electrical power to refrigerator: $P_{ref} = \Delta E/\eta(T)$ with $\eta(T) = efficiency w.r. to Carnot = COP_{Carnot}/COP_{Real}$ $P_{ref} = Q_{cm} \cdot (T_a/T_{cm} - 1)/\eta(T_{cm}) + Q_{bs} \cdot (T_a/T_{bs} - 1)/\eta(T_{bs})$





BS – CM thermodynamics Numerical application

Total electrical power to refrigerator $P_{ref.}$ considering:

- a beam screen similar to that of the LHC
- refrigerator efficiencies identical to those of the LHC.

 T_{cm} = 1.9 K, optimum for T_{bs} = 70-80 K T_{cm} = 4.5 K, flat optimum for T_{bs} = 120 K

Temperature range 40-60 K retained



Forbidden by vacuum and/or by surface impedance









Beam screen cooling







Cooling potential of cryogens for beam screen



* at exit conditions

He 20 bar

Ne 30 bar

Operating the beam screen at higher temperature would allow other cooling fluids \rightarrow w/o flow, the BS temperature will decrease down to 1.9-4.5 K \rightarrow Solidification!

107

79.1



40-60 K

40-60 K

1.64

11.3



Cryo-magnet cross sections







A first estimate of heat loads

		LHC [W/m]			FCC-hh [W/m]	
	Temperature level	50-75 K	4.5-20 K	1.9 K	40-60 K	1.9 or 4.5 K
	CM supporting system	1.5		0.10	2.9	0.2
Static Radiative insulation				0.11		0.15
heat	Thermal shield	2.7			3.8	
inleaks	Feedthrough & vac. barrier	0.2		0.1	0.2	0.1
	Total static	4.4		0.3	6.9	0.45
	Synchrotron radiation		0.33	3	57 (88)	0.2
Dynamic	Image current		0.36		2.7 (2.9)	
loads	loads Resistive heating			0.1		0.3 (0.4)
	Total dynamic		0.7	0.1	60 (91)	0.5 (0.6)
Total		4.4	0.7	0.4	67 (98)	1.0 (1.1)

(): Value in brackets for 83-km FCC-hh



FCC-hh cooling requirements



w/o cryo-distribution !

Per arc

w/o operation overhead !



A large part of the refrigeration capacity corresponds to non-isothermal refrigeration above 40 K \rightarrow open the door to non-conventional refrigeration (He-Ne mixture...)





	Layout 1	Layout 2	Layout 3	
Transport of refrigeration	Over 8.3 km (6.9 km)	Over 4.2 km (3.5 km)		
Nb of cryoplants (availability)	12	12	24	
Size of cryoplants	Beyond SOTA*	Beyond SOTA*	Within SOTA*	
Nb of technical sites	6	12	12	
Partial redundancy	Y	Ν	Y	

*: SOTA, State-Of-The-Art





Cool-down from 300 to 80 K

			FCC-hh		
		LHC	83 km	100 km	
Specific CM mass	[t/m]	1.7	3.3		
Arc length	[m]	2800	5500	7000	
Arc mass	[t/arc]	4648	18260	23240	
Nb arc	[t]	8	12	12	
Total mass	[kton]	37	219	279	
LN2 preccooler capacity	[kW/arc]	600	2357	3000	(for a CD time of 2 weeks
LN2 consumption	[t/arc]	1250	4911	6250	
	[t/machine]	10000	59000	75000	
	[trailer/arc]	60	245	310	(~20 t per trailer)
		[trailer/machine]	480	2950	3750

Operation cost and logistics !





10 t GHe storage

LHe inventory

- ~ 50 l/m in FCC-hh magnet cold masses,
- ~100 l/m for FCC-ee RF cryo-modules



15 t LHe storage

~ 12 % of EU annual market~ 2.5 % of annual world market



Impact on environment

Impact on operation cost

LHC losses of He inventory:

- \rightarrow The first year: 30 %
- \rightarrow The third year: 15 %
- → Objective: ~10 % per year

Assuming the same losses for FCC-hh:

 \rightarrow 240 ton to 80 ton per year !



Contents



- Introduction: the European Strategy Update
 - Future circular hadron collider: FCC-hh
- Future circular electron-positron collider: FCC-ee
- Cryogenic plant challenges
- Conclusion





Cryogenics for FCC-ee @ 175 GeV (From E. Jensen)

	704 MHz 5-cell cavity	
Gradient	20 MV/m	
Active length	1.06 m	
Voltage/cavity	21.2 MV	
Number of cavities	568	
Number of cryomodules	71	(per beam), i.e. 1800 m in total
Total length cryomodules	902 m	
R/Q	506 Ω	
Q_0	$2.0\cdot 10^{10}$	
Dynamic heat load per cavity @ 1.9 K:	44.4 W	(per beam), i.e. 50.4 kW @ 1.9 K in total
Total dynamic heat load	25.2 kW	Total electrical power to
CW RF power per cavity	176 kW	the refrigerators: ~ 45 MW
Matched Q _{ext}	$5.0 \cdot 10^6$	Page 22



Cryogenics for FCC-ee

- 12 cryoplants:
 - > ~150 m of RF cavities per cryoplant
 - > 4.2 kW @ 1.9 K of RF power per cryoplants (equivalent to 16 kW @ 4.5 K) w/o:
 - static losses of cryomodule,
 - static and dynamic losses in the couplers
 - cryogenic distribution losses
 - operation overhead





Contents



- Introduction: the European Strategy Update
 - Future circular hadron collider: FCC-hh
- Future circular electron-positron collider: FCC-ee
- Cryogenic plant challenges
- Conclusion





State-of-the-art of cold compressors (single train)







Main FCC cryogenics challenges: towards 1 MW @ 4.5 K



Study and development of larger cryoplants (50-100 kW @ 4.5 K range):

- \rightarrow New type of cycle compressors ? (centrifugal vs screw)
- → New refrigeration cycle ? (higher HP pressure, He-Ne mixture)
- → Improvement of reliability / availability / efficiency



Main FCC cryogenics challenges: superfluid refrigeration



Study and development of larger cold-compressor systems (10 kW @ 1.8 K range):

- → Larger cold compressor development ?
- \rightarrow Operation with parallel cold compressor trains ?
- → Improvement of reliability / availability / efficiency



Conclusion

- FCC will trigger specific cryogenic studies and developments which will stimulate progress of the state-of-the-art in term of technologies and system reliability and efficiency.
- We hope that the FCC study will also stimulate the worldwide cryogenic community.
 - \rightarrow The sharing of expertise on previous or present projects and studies will be essential.

 \rightarrow Collaborations are welcome !

