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Abstract—A model based on contact mechanics concepts has been developed to analyze and quantitatively evaluate mechanical transverse load effects on superconducting strands in a cable-in-conduit-conductor (CICC). The model estimates the number of contact points and the effective contact pressures between the strands in a cable. Experimental measurements confirmed the model, which was then used to evaluate mechanical transverse load effects on the critical current degradation of sub-sized cable samples of Nb$_3$Sn wires. It is proposed to use a set of experimental transverse load test data of the smallest stage cable (triplet) in order to predict transverse load degradations of the critical current of a large full size CICC cable. This paper will review the model to estimate the degradation caused by the transverse load effect and discuss the results of several cable configurations. The analysis provides suggestions for future design evaluation of mechanical behaviors of large Nb$_3$Sn CICC cable magnets during operations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

SUPERCONDUCTING magnets for fusion energy applications use cable-in-conduit conductors (CICC). The electromagnetic interaction between current and magnetic flux in a CICC results in a significant Lorentz force accumulating across the cross section of the conductor. Several types of forces act onto the cable during cool down (axial) and operations (bending and transverse loads). Those effects are believed to be the cause of the unexpected degradations seen in large CICC magnets such as the ITER mo coil magnets [1]. Experimental modeling investigations have been performed for pure and periodic bending and transverse load effects in a n eff ort to understand and mitigate possible degradation in the ITER magnets [2-6]. This paper discusses how experimental results on different Nb$_3$Sn (Oxford IT ER pre-production) samples (single strand, 3-strand and 45-strand cables) were used to develop a new model to evaluate effective transverse loads in a Nb$_3$Sn cable.

The transverse load pressure due to the electromagnetic Lorentz force is often referred to as “averaged pressure” because it is determined from the force divided by the effective area of the sample cross-section. The pressure does not take into account the actual area pressed and the local effects that might occur within the strand. In a cable composed of many strands, the real pressure acting on a strand is a combination of the angle between crossing strands, the number of their contacts, and the force. Using the projected area of the wire as an oversimplified way of estimating the pressure exerted on strands and it can be much smaller than the actual contact pressure experienced by each strand.

In this paper we describe the salient characteristics of a model to evaluate the deformation of the cable under a load according to the theory of contact mechanics. This model quantitatively evaluates the effective contact pressure between strands and predicts the critical current behavior of full size cables un der the actual Lorentz transverse loop ad in the operations. It is proposed to use a set of experimental transverse load test data of the smallest stage cable (triplet) to evaluate the transverse load effects on performances of full size superconducting cables.

II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The details of the experimental setup are described in [7]. Three different samples were tested: a single strand, 3-strand and 45-strand cables. To simulate the same electromagnetic conditions felt by strands in a full size cable, it was necessary to apply a mechanical load to the sub-cables. The normalized critical current as a function of mechanical load (force averaged over the cross section of the sample) is represented in Fig. 1. The experimental setup allowed for a direct measurement of the load applied and the displacement through a load cell and an extensometer. All samples showed an initial plateau and subsequent degradation. The change in critical current is reversible up to a certain load condition after which permanent degradation is observed. It is worthwhile noticing that significant bending and rain effect but show a significant
degradation caused by the transverse load effect. This indicates that the transverse load effect is important in the overall performance of CICC cables. Additionally, the single strand sample shows a more gradual degradation for the same amount of mechanical load applied. This is obvious because the load in the case of a single strand is distributed over the entire length pressed and it is not localized at the contact points as in a 3-strand and the 45-strand samples. It is believed that in 3-strand samples, the behavior of a single strand if its twist pitch was longer would be similar to the 3-strand sample, whereas the 45-strand sample would show a similar behavior as the single strand if its twist pitch was longer allowing for a better distribution of the load through almost parallel strands contacts.

The normalized critical current $I_c^*$ in the case of a fully twisted cable can be written as (1):

$$I_c^* = \frac{I_c}{I_{c0}} = \frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot (1 - v_f) \cdot \cos \vartheta \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{I_{c-singe}(p_{cy}) \cdot y \, dy}{R_{cable}}}{N_s \cdot \pi \cdot a^2}$$

where $a$ is the strand radius, $N_s$ the number of strands, $\vartheta$ the angle between strands and the cable axis, $v_f$ the void fraction.

In a fully twisted cable each strand is assumed to spiral along the cable axis, and in a twist pitch length it will go back to its original location. In a twist pitch length, each strand will experience the highest Lorentz load at some point so that the currents of strands on the same annulus will transport the same current $I(r)$ corresponding to the minimum critical current experienced in a twist pitch length. No current sharing among strands is assumed in a twist pitch length (true for a chrome plated wire cable). The integral in equations (1) is evaluated using Gaussian integration. It is calculated using Microsoft Excel. To evaluate the currents used in the iterative process, the transverse force acting on a contact point is the ratio of the force at that point divided by the pressure felt by the strands in a cable. The pressure at the contact point is the ratio of the force at that point divided by the area of the contact. In order to find the local force we can use the following equation (mechanical or electromagnetic) divided by the number of contact points, which is essential to this approach and it will be discussed later in this section. To estimate the area of contact, contact mechanics concepts are used [9]. Contact mechanics between cross sections of strands is mathematically treatable only for elastic materials. Superconducting strands are elasto-plastic materials and more work in estimating the real behavior of strands under load is being performed [10].
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**Fig. 2** Electromagnetic force effect on strands in a CICC and parameters used in the analysis.

To evaluate the critical current caused by the transverse Lorentz load it is necessary to estimate the effective contact pressure felt by the strands in a cable. The pressure at the contact point is the ratio of the force at that point divided by the area of the contact. In order to find the local force we can use the number of contact points in a contact plane between bundles per unit length, $N_{hy}$ is a function of the total number of contacts $N_T$ where $N_{hy}$ is the number of strands in a stage $i$ and the number of strands on a plane $y$, $n_{hy}$:

$$N_{hy} = \left( \frac{N_T}{N_s} \right) \cdot n_{hy}$$

$$n_{hy} = 2 \cdot (1 - v_f) \cdot \cos \vartheta \cdot \sqrt{(R_{cable}^2 - y^2)} \cdot 2a / \pi \cdot a^2$$

$$N_T = k_2 \cdot k_3 \cdot k_4 \cdot k_5 \cdot N_1 + k_3 \cdot k_4 \cdot k_5 \cdot N_2 + k_4 \cdot k_5 \cdot N_3 + k_5 \cdot N_4 + N_5$$

$$N_i = 2 \cdot k_1 \cdot 4 \cdot (1 - v_f) \cdot \cos \vartheta \cdot N_{ai} / (\pi^2 \cdot L_{pi})$$

Estimating the number of contacts in a cable with more than a thousand strands twisted together is no a simple task. The assumption made in our analysis is that only the perpendicular cross-contacts between two strands (perpendicular to the field) need to be accounted for because those are where the highest forces are felt from a particular strand. For example when a transverse load is applied to a 3-strand cable it is noted that there are six places of strand-to-strand contact points that...
47x290] function of the number of strands in that bundle. The total number of strand-to-strand contact points described by (9):

\[
F_{cy} = F_{cy}/N_{hy} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{F_{cy}}{E} = \left(\frac{F_{cy} \cdot K_{D} / E}{N_{hy}}\right)^{1/3} \left(1 - \nu^{2}\right) / E
\]

The Young’s modulus \(E\) is the only unknown parameter and it is used as fitting parameter in our analysis. This parameter is chosen so that the calculated displacement predicts reasonably well the measured displacement [7]. \(E\) varied between 1 and 4 GPa which was similar to previously measured values [11].

The critical current of a fully twisted cable, (1), allows simulating superconductor performances of various CICC cables. For the model analysis, the critical current behavior \(I_{c \text{- single}}(p_{cy})\) obtained at the background field of 12 T for the 3-strand sub-cable experiment with a Young’s modulus of 3 GPa (solid line in Fig. 4(a)). This assumption was justified by the fact that the experimental data of the 45-strand cable were very well pre dicted by the 3-strand data (Fig. 4(b)). These results are very encouraging because they suggest that experimental results of the smallest stage of a CICC could be used to estimate the behavior of a larger size cable subjected to a certain transverse load. In our analysis the variation of magnetic fields across the cross section of the cable is disregarded. The purpose of the model analysis is to provide a general idea of the effects of transverse load.

- **IV. MODEL ANALYSIS FOR FULL SIZE CABLES**

The model results show that for a full size cable with the original cable pattern proposed for the TF coils in ITER (cabling pattern 3x4x4x4x6 and twist pitches 65, 90, 150, 270, 430 mm), the Lorentz load could account for up to 20% of degradation [8]. These results could partially explain the large initial degradation observed in the full size model coils magnets [1]. It was also shown that to reduce the degradation caused by the transverse Lorentz load each sub-cable could be supported. For example, if the 6 petals of the last stage of the TF cable are independently supported (each one carrying 11.6 kA), the degradation would be 6% [8]. The analysis showed that the cabling pattern plays a fundamental role in the performance of full size cables. The analysis showed that lower number of sub-bundles in a stage causes larger degradation than an a cable pattern 3x3x3x6 shows a 10% larger degradation than an a cabling pattern 3x5x5x6 [8]. The effect of twist pitch length is of particular interest considering recent work has been focusing on optimizing parameters to obtain the best performance [2-6]. Our model indicates that shorter twist pitches at the first stage are preferable. In the following analysis we considered the original ITER cabling pattern (twist pitches 65, 90, 150, 270, 430 mm) and multipliers of these nominal values. In Fig. 5 the results are plotted so that the nominal ITER pattern has multiplier 1x1x1x1x1. The other curves are fractions of the nominal values (0.75 being 75% and 1.25 125% of the nominal values respectively). From Fig.
5 we can see that for a given nominal current of 68 kA we expect a degradation of 20%, so that a current of 98 kA will be required to satisfy the 68 kA requirements. If we reduce the twist pitch of the first stage by 25% in turn we increase the number of contacts and reduce the effective contact pressure so that the strain of the cables is altered. We also see a reduction of the degradation caused by the Lorentz load by 5% (Fig. 5 grey diamonds). An equivalent effect can be obtained by shortening the second stage by 25% (open diamonds).

It is important to notice that the model presented takes into consideration only the crossing points between strands. As for now the model does not have the capability of taking in consideration very long twist pitch in which a contact resembles a long rectangular shape rather than the strands being parallel. In fact if the first stage has a very long twist pitch the contact between strands is long so that the load is distributed over a larger line-contact area compared to the cross-contact case in which the area is a small ellipse. The contact mechanics approach is very different for the two cases and it is important to notice that the model presented takes into account only the degradation caused by the transverse load on the Lorentz load. Axial and bending strains caused by thermal contractions and by the Lorentz load are additional sources of the degradation [2-6]. Those effects are complementary and not mutually exclusive.

VI. DISCUSSION

With the present work, we proposed a method to evaluate degradation caused by transverse electromagnetic load in large CICC. The model relies on the experimental behavior of the smallest stage of CICC (triplet) to extrapolate the behavior of full size cables using single strand data results. We believe the use of 3-strand data is sufficient. This work is the first to evaluate and quantify its effects.[12] The model to include long contact areas will be considered in future work. Combining the line contact mechanics approach is very different for the two cases and it is important to notice that the model presented takes into account only the degradation caused by the Lorentz load by 5% (Fig. 5 grey diamonds). An equivalent effect can be obtained by shortening the second stage by 25% (open diamonds).
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Fig. 5 Percent differences between the nominal current and the expected values considering the Lorentz load effect as a function of different twist pitch values. 1x1x1x1x1 represents the nominal ITER TF cable value. The other curves are fractions of the nominal values (0.75 being 75% and so on).
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