

Neutron radiation effects on superconducting magnet materials

Michael Eisterer

Atominstitut, TU Wien, Vienna, Austria

ISS 2024, Kanazawa, December 5th 2024

Collaborations and Funding

Raphael Unterrainer, Alexander Bodenseher, Morteza Asiyaban TU Wien

David Fischer MIT (proton irradiation)

Daniele Torsello, Francesco Laviano Politecnico di Torino (radiation environment, damage calculations) WB5-4-INV, 11:15, Dec.5

Davide Gambino Linköping University (MD calculations) WB5-3-INV, 10:45, Dec.5

Ruben Hühne IFW Dresden (thin film preparation)

Christian Scheuerlein CERN (organic insulators)



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.



Funded by the European Union





Outline

Nuclear fusion based on magnetic confinement

- Fusion neutrons
- Their journey to the magnets

Shrinking the reactor size

Solving/generating problems

Radiation damage

- Improved pinning by large defects
- Degradation of superconductivity due to small defects

Mitigation strategies

- Reliable prediction of the changes caused by neutron radiation
- Shielding/annealing

Conclusions



W Nuclear Fusion





JET record 69 MJ, 5.2 s Q<1 Fusion neutrons are needed for the fuel cycle but some of them harm reactor components.



www.euro-fusion.org/media-library/fusion-experiments

Conventional fusion reactor concepts



EU DEMO



R. Kembleton et al. FED 178 (2022) 113080

Use of Nb₃Sn:

- Low *T*_c (~18 K)
- \rightarrow Acceptable heat load is small \rightarrow Keep distance to the plasma
- Low *H*_{c2} (~25 T)
- \rightarrow Limited achievable field
 - \rightarrow Restricts the power density ($\propto B^4$).

Advantages:

- Demonstrated technologies (ITER)
- Only few technological issues left
- No radiation issues for the superconductors

Disadvantages:

- Very expensive (commercial viability?)
 - $\rightarrow\,$ Difficult to find funding
 - → Slow progress
 (DEMO operation: second half of century)

High temperature superconductors have become available

High temperature superconductors enable higher operation fields ($H_{c2} \sim 150 \text{ T}$) and temperatures ($T_c \sim 90 \text{ K}$)!

- Fusion power density scales with fourth power of magnetic field, B^4 .
 - \rightarrow Same power possible with smaller reactors
 - \rightarrow Higher heat load
- Compact reactors
 - + Affordable
 - \rightarrow Private investments
 - \rightarrow Dozens of start-ups worldwide
 - \rightarrow Rapid development
 - Many open issues

At 1 GW_{th} :

1 GJ/17.6 MeV = $3.5 \cdot 10^{20}$ neutrons per second Flux density through enclosing torus: $\approx \frac{2 \cdot 10^{19}}{R^2}$ m⁻²s⁻¹



https://www.psfc.mit.edu/sparc

Size matters

Reactor cost increases exponentially with size, heat and radiation load decrease for fixed power.



A neutron's journey to the magnet



- Neutron flux density decreases with the distance from the plasma.
 - Geometric effect
 - Absorption
- Neutrons are moderated.
 - \rightarrow Mean energy decreases (elastic collisions).
 - \rightarrow Continuous energy distribution
- Secondary particles are generated.

Neutron flux density decreases from the first wall to the magnets by many orders of magnitude, depending on the reactor design.

🔛 Blanket

- Heat production (steam turbine)
- Tritium breeding (blanket):
 ⁶Li + n → ⁴He + T + 4.8 MeV
- Neutron multiplier:
 - ${}^9\text{Be}$ + n \rightarrow 2⁴He + 2n
 - $^{208}Pb + n \rightarrow ^{207}Pb + 2n$





Most neutrons are absorbed in the blanket.

Vacuum vessel/magnet casing/structural material

- Neutrons are moderated (energy becomes lower).
- Neutrons are absorbed.
- Nuclear reactions
 - Production of secondary particles (e.g. nickel)
 - Neutrons
 - Protons
 - Electrons
 - α-Particles
 - \rightarrow Complex radiation field



Radiation tolerant materials (no problem for their properties)

Insulators

- Ceramic insulators are robust against radiation.
- Organic materials



Similar radiation tolerance as coated conductors.

R. Prokopec et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 986 (2008) 182



Ultimate tensile strength (UTS)

- Fast degradation of epoxy resins
- Good radiation hardness of cyanate esters (CE)
- Sufficient radiation hardness of epoxy – CE blends

Organic insulators

- Degradation of mechanical properties
- Gas production/swelling





Insulators: absorbed dose

Tests at 77 K, irradiation at ~340 K (minor influence)



Scaling all data to the absorbed dose works well!

Crystalline Materials



Any defect breaks translational symmetry of the crystal lattice \rightarrow scattering of charge carriers

- Decrease in mean free time τ
- Increase in scattering rate τ^{-1}
- Decrease in mean free path $l = v_F \tau$
- Increase in normal state resistivity $\rho_n = \frac{m_e v_F}{ne^2 l}$



 $v_{\rm F}$... Fermi velocity m_e ... mass of charge carriers n... density of charge carriers e... elementary charge





K. Nakata et al., JNM 135 (1985) 32

Resistivity (@4.2 K) change in copper after n-irradiation (@5 K)



16

IEEE CSC, ESAS and CSSJ SUPERCONDUCTIVITY NEWS FORUM (global edition), Issue No. 58, Feb. 2025. Presentation given at ISS 2024, Kanazawa, Japan, Dec. 3-5, 2024.

Resistivity (@4.2 K) change in copper and aluminum after n-irradiation (@5 K)



K. Nakata et al., JNM 135 (1985) 32



See also a recent review: J.M. John et al., arXiv:2308.03794

- Resistivity of aluminum fully recovers after a thermal cycle to room temperature.
- Thermal annealing of radiation induced defects starts below 50 K.
- Retained Δρ depends on purity (top) and mechanical treatment (bottom) of copper.



K. Nakata et al., JNM 135 (1985) 32

Superconductor ($REBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$)



R. Unterrainer et al., SuST 37 (2024) 105008

- J_c first increases (pinning) then decreases with neutron fluence.
- Degradation starts at a fast neuron fluence of about 3.10²² m⁻²! (1.5.10²² m⁻² for low temperature irradiation?)









Baumgartner et al., Sci. Rep. **5** (2015) 10236 (M. Asiyaban, unpublished, 2024)

Significantly higher radiation tolerance of Nb₃Sn

Neutron energy distribution

Most of the neutrons reaching the magnets have lost part of their energy (moderation).



Introduced defects

• Fast neutrons (E_n>0.1 MeV): collision cascades



Ŧ



- D. Torsello et al., SuST **36** (2023) 014003 D. Gambino et al., WB5-4-INV, 10:45, Dec.5
- ~ 0.1 keV < E_n < 0.1 MeV: single displaced atoms: vacancies, interstitials, Frenkel pairs (mainly oxygen).



Introduced defects

• Nuclear reactions: resonance energy, low energy (thermal) neutrons, e.g.



- Known Primary Knock-on Atom (PKA)
- Known momentum of the PKA
 - \rightarrow Ideal for Molecular Dynamic (MD) simulations



R. Unterrainer et al., SuST 37 (2024) 105008

Molecular dynamics simulation

- Primary knock-on atom (PKA)
- Gadolinium
- Barium
- Copper
- Oxygen

R. Unterrainer et al., SuST **37** (2024) 105008

D. Gambino et al., WB5-3-INV, 10:45, Dec.5



Introduced defects

Defects created in 430 MD simulation runs

R. Unterrainer et al., SuST **37** (2024) 105008

D. Gambino et al., WB5-3-INV, 10:45, Dec.5



Enhanced scattering (conventional sc)

- Decrease in mean free time τ
- Increase in scattering rate τ^{-1}
- Decrease in mean free path $l = v_F \tau$
- Increase in normal state resistivity $\rho_n = \frac{m_e v_F}{ne^{2}l}$
- Superconducting coherence length decreases: $\xi = \frac{\xi_0}{\sqrt{1+\frac{\xi_0}{t}}} \quad (\approx \sqrt{\xi_0 l})$
- Isotropic conventional superconductors
 - Condensation energy: $E_{\rm c} = \frac{\phi_0^2}{16\pi^2 \mu_0 \lambda^2 \xi^2} = {\rm const.}$
 - \rightarrow Magnetic penetration depth increases: $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{\mu_0 n_s e^2}} = \lambda_L \sqrt{1 + \frac{\xi_0}{l}}$



- ↔ Superfluid density $n_{\rm s} \propto \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$ is reduced.
- Pair breaking current density, $J_{d} = \frac{\phi_{0}}{3\sqrt{3}\mu_{0}\pi\lambda^{2}\xi}$, decreases.

Pair breaking

- Scattering is pair breaking in cuprates.
- *T*_c degrades with increasing resistivity.



R. J. Radtke et al., PRB 48 (1993) 653



R. Unterrainer et al., SuST 37 (2024) 105008

Fluence is an unsuitable parameter.

- T_c degrades ~13-15 x faster due to low energy neutrons.
- Disorder parameter, *D*: decrease of T_c ($D = T_c^0 - T_c$)
- $D \propto \tau^{-1}$

Enhanced scattering (cuprates)

• BCS coherence length: $\xi_0 = \frac{\hbar v_F}{\pi \Delta} = 0.15 \frac{\hbar v_F}{k_B T_c}$ (d-wave) increases.

• Change (increase?) of coherence length: $\xi = \frac{\xi_0}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\xi_0}{l}}}$.

• Magnetic penetration depth increases: $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{\mu_0 n_c e^2}} = \lambda_L \sqrt{1 + \frac{\xi_0}{l}}$.

$$\leftrightarrow \text{ Superfluid density } n_{s} \propto \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \text{ is reduced.}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{ Pair breaking current density, } J_{d} = \frac{\phi_{0}}{3\sqrt{3}\mu_{0}\pi\lambda^{2}\xi}, \text{ decreases}$$

Decrease of depairing current density with $D(T_c)$: $J_d(D) = \frac{\phi_0}{3\sqrt{3\mu_0}\pi\lambda_L^2\xi_0(D)\sqrt{1+\frac{\xi_0(D)}{l(D)}}}$

By product: Homes' Law (dirty limit)



Universal degradation



Very similar degradation behavior:

- Same tape (SP SCS09) different irradiation techniques
 - Fast and thermal neutrons (U)
 - Fast neutrons (S)
 - 1.2 MeV protons (P)
- Different tapes (S): SP SCS09, SuN HCN, SP SCS13 (artificial pinning centers)

F

Universal degradation



Very similar degradation behavior:

M. Eisterer et al., arXiv:2409.01376v1

- Same tape (SP SCS09) different irradiation techniques
 - Fast and thermal neutrons (U)
 - Fast neutrons (S)
 - 1.2 MeV protons (P)
- Different tapes (S): SP SCS09, SuN HCN, SP SCS13 (artificial pinning centers)

Change of critical current



Separation of contributions from enhanced pinning and scattering: $J_c \propto \eta_{pin} J_{d}$

$$\frac{I_{c}}{I_{c}^{0}} = \frac{\eta_{pin}}{\eta_{pin}^{0}} \frac{J_{d}}{J_{d}^{0}} \frac{A_{creep}}{A_{creep}^{0}} =: \frac{\eta_{pin}}{\eta_{pin}^{0}} F_{D}(D) \qquad F_{D}...degradation function$$

$$M. \text{ Eisterer et al., arXiv:2409.01376v1}$$



Degradation function - pinning contribution

Parameters in $F_{\rm D}$

- $\alpha_{\rm p} = \frac{\xi_0^0}{l^0}$ (fixed to 3, weak influence)
- $K_{\rho} = \frac{T_c^0}{\rho_n^0} \frac{\partial \rho_n}{\partial T_c} \approx -16.5$ (experimental value, thin film)
- *n*-value, U ∝ Iⁿ
 linear fit to the experimental values
 (sample dependent) □





- Strong increase near D = 0.
- Saturation at large D.



Mitigation strategies: shielding



50 cm thick ZrH₂ layer as a shield.

Shielding is an obvious solution, however, it makes the reactor larger and more expensive.

F. Ledda et al., IEEE TAS 34 (2024) 4206105 D. Torsello et al., WB5-4-INV, 11:15, Dec.5

Mitigation strategies: annealing



Normalized transition temperature

Loss of oxygen has to be avoided!

R. Unterrainer et al., SuST 35 (2022) 04LT01

- Annealing starts at cryogenic temperatures (protons).
- Partial recovery during maintenance breaks.
- Linear behavior up to high annealing temperatures.

Mitigation strategies: annealing



- Recovery is non-monotonous, although with a linear trend.
- Degraded samples was recovered above its initial value.
- Optimum annealing protocol to be derived.

R. Unterrainer et al., SuST 35 (2022) 04LT01

Conclusions

 \succ Radiation is an issue for compact fusion reactors.

- Degradation of insulator, stabilizer, and superconductor.
- > Pair breaking by scattering decreases T_c of cuprate superconductors linearly with neutron fluence (defect density).
 - $T_{\rm c}$ is an efficient disorder parameter.
 - Indicating a decrease in superfluid density.
- > Decrease of J_c at high defect density is driven by the decrease of superfluid density.
 - Successful modelling.
- Efficient scattering centers (defects) have to be identified and their production rate as a function of the neutron energy for a reliable prediction of the maximum lifetime fluence of the magnet.

