FCC – High Energy Collider

gratefully acknowledging input from FCC coordination group the global design study team and all contributors

M. Benedikt

FCC

EuroCirCol <u>http://cern.ch/fcc</u>

LHC

Work supported by the European Commission under the HORIZON 2020 project EuroCirCol, grant agreement 654305



Acknowledgements

- ASC program committee for the opportunity to present the FCC study to this audience.
- The contents of this presentation is based on the work of many colleagues in the FCC collaboration. Many thanks to all of them for their excellent contributions.
- Particular thanks for provision of slides and detailed discussions to M. Atanasov, A. Ballarino, L. Bottura, S. Calatroni, J. Osborne, L. Rossi, H. Ten Kate, D. Tommasini and F. Zimmermann.



High energy accelerators & colliders

- Using electrical fields (RF cavities) to accelerate and magnetic fields (accelerator magnets) to guide and collide charged particle beams (electrons, protons & antiparticles)
- > Aim at higher energy accelerators for 2 reasons:
 - ➢ Production of new heavier particles (according to Einstein): E = mc² ≤ 2E beam (collider)
 - Resolving smaller distances (according to de Broglie):
 Wavelength $\lambda = hc/E$ for LHC ~ 2.10⁻¹⁸ cm

Higher energy → Increased potential for discoveries



Colliders constructed and operated



Discoveries by colliders



Colliders are powerful instruments in High Energy physics for particle discoveries and precision measurements



Michael Benedikt ASC 2016,Denver, 6 September 2016

LHC: present collider flagship

2012: Higgs boson discovery



2016 performance: LHC design luminosity (data-rate) reached!



So far the accumulated data do not yet show signs for new physics beyond standard model.

François Englert Jniversité Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium

Peter W. Higgs University of Edinburg



Standard model describes known matter, i.e. 5% of the universe!



- what is dark matter?
- what is dark energy?



galaxy rotation curves, 1933 - Zwicky

- why is there more matter than antimatter?
- why do the masses differ by more than 13 orders of magnitude?
- b do fundamental forces unify in single field theory?
- what about gravity?
- Is there a "world equation theory of everything"? ...
 K. Borras



Step 1: HL-LHC upgrade – ongoing











HL LHC project landmarks





For physics beyond the LHC and beyond the Standard Model, under study (synergy of):

- Linear e⁺e⁻ colliders (CLIC, ILC)
 E_{CM} up to ~ 3 TeV
- Circular e⁺e⁻ colliders (CepC, FCC-ee)
 E_{CM} up to ~ 400 GeV limited by e[±] synchrotron radiation. Ideal for precision measurements
- Circular p-p colliders (SppC, FCC)
 E_{CM} up to ~ 100 TeV
 Ideal for discoveries at higher energy frontiers



High Energy Colliders under study





Future Circular Collider Study GOAL: CDR and cost review for the next ESU (2019)

International FCC collaboration (CERN as host lab) to study:

pp-collider (*FCC-hh*)
 → main emphasis, defining infrastructure requirements

~16 T \Rightarrow 100 TeV *pp* in 100 km

- **80-100 km tunnel infrastructure** in Geneva area, site specific
- e⁺e⁻ collider (FCC-ee), as potential first step
- *p-e (FCC-he) option,* integration one IP, FCC-hh & ERL
- **HE-LHC** with *FCC-hh* technology







FCC Scope: Accelerator and Infrastructure



FCC-hh: 100 TeV pp collider as long-term goal → defines infrastructure needs FCC-ee: e⁺e⁻ collider, potential intermediate step HE-LHC: based on FCC-hh technology



R&D Programs

Launch R&D on key enabling technologies in dedicated R&D programmes, e.g. 16 Tesla magnet program, cryogenics, SRF technologies and RF power sources



Tunnel infrastructure in Geneva area, linked to CERN accelerator complex; **site-specific,** as requested by European strategy

CERN



FCC Scope: Physics & Experiments



Physics Cases

Elaborate and document

- Physics opportunities
- Discovery potentials



Experiments

Experiment concepts for hh, ee and he Machine Detector Interface studies R&D needs for **detector technologies**



Overall **cost model for collider scenarios** including infrastructure and injectors Develop **realization concepts** Forge **partnerships with industry**



CERN Circular Colliders & FCC



Must advance fast now to be ready for the period 2035 – 2040 Goal of phase 1: CDR by end 2018 for next update of European Strategy





Progress on site investigations

Alignment Shafts Query

100	km quasi-circular				
Tunn	el elevation at cer	ntre:261mASL			
		1			
Grad	Params				
		Azi	muth (*):	-20	
		Slope Angl	e x-x(%):	0.65	
		Slone Angl	e v-v(%)	0	
		a	- / / -/		
104		SAVE	- 7 7 -7		CALCULAT
LOA	D	SAVE	-)) -/	I	CALCULAT
LOA Align	ment centre	SAVE	-11(-)	l	CALCULAT
LOA Align X:	D ment centre 2499731	SAVE	Y: 110	8403	CALCULAT
LOA Align X	D ment centre 2499731	SAVE CP 1	Y: 110	8403 CP	CALCULAT
LOA Align X:	D ment centre 2499731 Angle	SAVE CP 1 Depth	Y: 110	8403 CP	2 epth
LOA Align X:	D ment centre 2499731 Angle 2 -64*	CP 1 Depth 220m	Y: 110	8403 CP D41	2 epth 172m
LOA Align X: LHC SPS	D ment centre 2499731 Angle 2 -64*	CP 1 Depth 220m 242m	Y: 110	8403 CP D	2 spth 172m 241m
LOA Align X: LHC SPS TI2	D ment centre 2499731 Angle 2 -64*	CP 1 Depth 242m 235m	Y: 110 Angle	8403 CP D	2 epth 172m 241m 241m



Geology Intersected by Shafts Shaft Depths

			Shaft Depth (m)			Geology (r	n)
Point	Actual	Molasse SA	Wildflysch	Quaternary	Molasse	Urgonian	Calcaire
A	304						
в	265						
C	257						
D	272						
Ε	132						
F	392						
G	354						
н	268						
4	170						
3	315						
к	221						
L	260						
Total	3211	52	0	517	2478	0	109

Alignment Profile







Progress on site investigations

Alignment Shafts Query	Alignment Location	Ge	eology I	Intersecte	d by Shafts	Shaft Depths				
Choose alignment option 100km guasi-circular	+	Poi	int J	Actual	Molasse SA	Shaft Depth (m) Wildflyach	Quaternary	Molasse	Geology (r Urgonian	n) Calcaire
Tunnel elevation at centre:261mASL			A	304	0	0	12	213	6	79
Grad Parama			в							30
Azimuth (*): -20			C							0
Slope Angle x-x(%): 0.65			D							
Slope Angle v-y(%) 0			Ε							0
LOAD SAVE CALCULATE			F		0					56
Alignment centre			G							
X 2499731 Y: 1108403			н							
CP 1 CP 2			1							
Angle Depth Angle Depth			<u>а</u> –							
LHC -64* 220m 64* 172m			ĸ							
SPS 242m 241m			ι.							5
TIE 233m 24 m TIB 242m 170m	H G	2	Total	3211	52	0	517	2478	0	109

90 – 100 km fits geological situation well
LHC suitable as potential injector
The 100 km version, intersecting LHC, is now being studied in more detail



Alignment Profile

LHC

FCC-hh injector considerations

Site de Peéve

SPS

LSS1

100 km FCC

LSS8

High energy and large size of the ring requires a pre-injector chain:

"gear-box" principle

Baseline:

• 3 TeV, directly from LHC, reusing the whole CERN complex

Alternative:

 1.5 TeV with new SPS (7 km machine circumference) based on fast-cycling SC magnets, 6-7T, ~ 1T/s ramp

L = 4.0 km

D Z = 110 m

D theta = 131 deg



L = 4.0 km

D Z = 64 m

D theta = 29 deg



FCC Tunnel Layout

•

FUTURE CIRCULAR COLLIDER (FCC) - 3D Schematic



'Baseline' Layout

- 100 km tunnel 6 m inner diameter
- 4 large experimental caverns
- 8 service caverns for infrastructure
- 12 & 4 vertical shafts (3 km integral)
- 2 transfer tunnels (10 km)
- 2 beam dump tunnels (4 km)





Frequency of connection tunnels for illustration only



Hadron collider parameters

parameter		FCC-hh	HE-LHC*	ve (HL) LHC			
collision energy cms [TeV]		100	>25	14			
dipole field [T]		16	16	8.3			
circumference [km]		100	27	27			
# IP	2	2 main & 2	2 & 2	2 & 2			
beam current [A]		0.5	1.12	(1.12) 0.58			
bunch intensity [10 ¹¹]	1	1 (0.2)	2.2	(2.2) 1.15			
bunch spacing [ns]	25	25 (5)	25	25			
beta* [m]	1.1	0.3	0.25	(0.15) 0.55			
luminosity/IP [10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹]	5	20 - 30	>25	(5) 1			
events/bunch crossing	170	<1020 (204)	850	(135) 27			
stored energy/beam [GJ]		8.4	1.2	(0.7) 0.36			
synchrotron rad. [W/m/beam]		30	3.6	(0.35) 0.18			





Physics prospects



Physics at the FCC-hh

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCPhysics/FutureHadroncollider

- Volume 1: SM processes (238 pages)
- Volume 2: Higgs and EW symmetry breaking studies (175 pages)
- · Volume 3: beyond the Standard Model phenomena (189 pages)
- Volume 4: physics with heavy ions (56 pages)
- Volume 5: physics opportunities with the FCC-hh injectors (14 pages)

Being published as CERN yellow report





FCC SC main magnet options and requirements



FCC-hh baseline FCC-hh **HE-LHC** baseline LHC 27 km, 8.33 T 27 km, 16 T 80 km, **20 T** 100 km, **16 T** 26 TeV (c.o.m.) 100 TeV (c.o.m.) 100 TeV (c.o.m.) 14 TeV (c.o.m.) 2500 tons Nb₃Sn 10000 tons Nb₃Sn 1300 tons NbTi 2000 tons HTS 8000 tons LTS



Main SC Magnet system FCC (16 T) vs LHC (8.3 T)

FCC

Bore diameter: 50 mm

Dipoles: 4578 *units*, 14.3 *m long*, 16 $T \Leftrightarrow \int Bdl \sim 1 MTm$

Stored energy ~ 200 GJ (GigaJoule) ~44 MJ/unit

Quads: 762 *magnets*, 6.6 *m long*, 375 *T/m*

LHC

Bore diameter: 56 mm
Dipoles: 1232 units, 14.3 m long, 8.3 T ⇔ ∫ Bdl~0.15 MTm
Stored energy ~ 9 GJ (GigaJoule) ~7 MJ/unit
Quads: 392 units, 3.15 m long, 233 T/m



FCC Technology program 2016-2020



15 MCHF material over 4 years (8 MCHF on conductor R&D)





Nb₃Sn conductor program

Nb₃Sn is one of the major cost & performance factors for

FCC-hh and must be given highest attention







Procurement of state-of-the-art conductor for protoyping:

- Bruker– European
- > OST US
- Stimulate conductor development with regional industry:
- CERN/KEK Japanese contribution. Japanese industry (JASTEC, Furukawa, SH Copper) and laboratories (Tohoku Univ. and NIMS).
- CERN/Bochvar High-technology Research Inst. Russian contribution. Russian industry (TVEL) and laboratories
- CERN/KAT Korean industrial contribution
- CERN/Bruker- European industrial contribution
- Characterisation of conductor & research with universities:
- Europe: Technical Univ. Vienna, Geneva University, University of Twente
- > Applied Superconductivity Centre at Florida State University

New US DOE MDP effort – **US** activity with **industry** (OST) and labs





CERN-EU program 'EuroCirCol' on 16 T dipole design



European Union Horizon 2020 program

- Support for FCC study
- Grant agreement 654305
- 3 MEURO co-funding



-



- Optics Design
 - Cryo vacuum design
- 16 T dipole design, construction folder for demonstrator magnets





1LOr3C-02, 2PL-01, 2LPo1A-10, 2LPo1D-02, 2LPo1D-03, 2LPo1D-05, 2LPo1D-07, 2LPo1D-08

Down-selection of options end 2016 for more detailed design work





Towards 16T magnets

Record fields for SC magnets in "dipole" configuration







Sn core

10 µm

Activity	Begin	End	201	5 20	016	2017	201	8 2	019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	202	6 2	027	202	2029 2030
FCC EuroCirCol	01.05.2015	30.04.2019									5									ERMC
EuroCirCol concepts	01.05.2015	31.12.2016								(Fu	rcié	-irC								
EuroCirCol design	31.12.2016	31.12.2017							'	ΨŪ	1	key to Nev	w Physics							
EuroCirCol engineering	31.12.2017	31.12.2018								\sim						ľ			>	
FCC Conductor Program	01.05.2015	31.12.2024																		
wire and material R&D	30.05.2016	30.06.2021															I	I		
state-of-the-art wire for demonstrators	30.06.2016	31.12.2017															R	M	M	
high Jc wire for 16 T models	30.05.2017	30.06.2020																		• • •
high performance wire for 16 T models and prototypes	30.05.2020	31.12.2024															+	->		
Core magnet technology R&D	01.01.2015	30.06.2021																		
Design, manufacture and test of ERMC	30.06.2015	30.06.2018						-	-				_							
Design, manufacture and test of RMM	01.01.2016	30.06.2019											_							
FCC 16 T Models	30.06.2018	31.12.2022											_		M	ode	els			
FCC 16 T Prototypes	01.01.2023	31.12.2025															_	1	İĒ	
FCC Production	01.01.2026	31.12.2033															•	2		
								_					ſ.			T	6		5	





US Program

Program (MDP) Goals:

GOAL 1:

Explore the performance limits of Nb₃Sn accelerator magnets with a focus on minimizing the required operating margin and significantly reducing or eliminating training.

GOAL 2:

Develop and demonstrate an HTS accelerator magnet with a self-field of 5 T or greater compatible with operation in a hybrid LTS/HTS magnet for fields beyond 16 T.

GOAL 3:

Investigate fundamental aspects of magnet design and technology that can lead to substantial performance improvements and magnet cost reduction.

GOAL 4:

Pursue Nb₃Sn and HTS conductor R&D with clear targets to increase performance and reduce the cost of accelerator magnets.

Under Goal 1:

16 T cos theta dipole design



16 T canted cos theta (CCT) design





The U.S. Magnet

Development Program Plan





S. A. Gourlay, S. O. Prestemon Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Berkeley, CA 94720

A. V. Zlobin, L. Cooley Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory Batavia, IL 60510

D. Larbalestier Florida State University and the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory Tallahassee, FL 32310

JUNE 2016





Synchrotron radiation beam screen prototype

High synchrotron radiation load of proton beams @ 50 TeV:

- ~30 W/m/beam (@16 T) (LHC <0.2W/m)</p>
- 5 MW total in arcs (@1.9 K!!!)

New Beam screen with ante-chamber

- absorption of synchrotron radiation at 50 K to reduce cryogenic power
- factor 50! reduction of power for cryo system



First FCC-hh beam screen prototype Testing 2017 in ANKA within EuroCirCol







Cryo power for cooling of SR heat

Overall optimisation of cryo-power, vacuum and impedance Termperature ranges: <20, 40K-60K, 100K-120K







HTS coating for beam screen

Goals: lower FCC-hh beam impedance for stability, while allowing higher beam-screen temperature for efficency

Candidate materials:

TI-1223 (promising performance, opens up >100 K temperature window, scalable coating, R&D with CNR-SPIN and TU-Vienna)

YBCO (proven performance, requires forming technology, R&D with ICMAB-ALBA-IFAE)







Detector Concepts for 100 TeV pp

Very large volume of high magnetic field needed to measure momentum of charged particles.

Expanding from LHC detector concepts:



B=6 T, 12 m bore, solenoid with shielding coil and 2 dipoles 10 Tm. Length 64 m, diam. 30 m, magnet 7000 tons, stored energy 50 GJ





Detector Magnet Studies

Designs for physics-performing and cost-efficient magnet systems



Today's baseline:

² 4T/10m bore 20m long Main Solenoid ¹ 4T Side Solenoids – all unshielded ¹ 14 GJ stored energy, 30 kA and ² 2200 tons system weight



Alternative challenging design:

4T/4m Ultra-thin, high-strength Main Solenoid allowing positioning inside the e-calorimeter, 280 MPa conductor (side solenoids not shown) 0.9 GJ stored energy, elegant, 25 t only, but needs R&D!





SC links for circuit powering

MgB₂ industrial conductor, He gas cooled Example HL-LHC (I_{tot} up to ~|150 kA| @ 25 K) All circuits in single cryostat – compact & efficient









R&D on Superconducting Septa

Need an extraction system for safely removing the beam from the collider hybrid system: short overall length with high robustness & availability







lepton collider parameters

parameter		LEP2			
Physics working point	Z	ww	ZH	tt _{bar}	
energy/beam [GeV]	45.6	80	120	175	105
bunches/beam	91500	5260	780	81	4
bunch spacing [ns]	2.5	50	400	4000	22000
bunch population [10 ¹¹]	0.33	0.6	0.8	1.7	4.2
beam current [mA]	1450	152	30	6.6	3
luminosity/IP x 10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	90	19	5.1	1.3	0.0012
energy loss/turn [GeV]	0.03	0.33	1.67	7.55	3.34
synchrotron power [MW]			22		
RF voltage [GV]	0.2	0.8	3.0	10	3.5

Limitation: synchrotron radiation - strong dependency on beam energy





SRF system requirements

Very large range of operation parameters



- Voltage and beam current ranges span more than factor > 10²
- No well-adapted single RF system solution satisfying requirements





SRF system R&D lines

400 MHz single-cell cavities preferred for hh and ee-Z (few MeV/m)

- Baseline Nb/Cu @4.5 K, development with synergies to HL-LHC, HE-LHC
- R&D: power coupling 1 MW/cell, HOM power handling (damper, cryomodule)



400 or 800 MHz multi-cell cavities preferred for ee-H, ee-tt and ee-W

- Baseline options 400 MHz Nb/Cu @4.5 K, ◄—▶ 800 MHz bulk Nb system @2K
- Long-term R&D: Nb₃Sn like components





FCC-ee MDI optimisation





- 88 institutes
 - 28 countries + EC





Status: August, 2016





FCC Collaboration Status 87 collaboration members + EC + CERN as host

ALBA/CELLS, Spain Ankara U., Turkey Aydin U, Istanbul, Turkey U Belgrade, Serbia U Bern, Switzerland **BINP**, Russia CASE (SUNY/BNL), USA CBPF, Brazil **CEA Grenoble, France CEA Saclay, France CIEMAT**, Spain Cinvestav, Mexico **CNRS**, France **CNR-SPIN**, Italy Cockcroft Institute, UK U Colima, Mexico UCPH Copenhagen, Denmark CSIC/IFIC, Spain TU Darmstadt, Germany **TU Delft, Netherlands** DESY, Germany DOE, Washington, USA **TU Dresden, Germany** Duke U, USA **EPFL**, Switzerland UT Enschede, Netherlands ESS, Sweden U Geneva, Switzerland Giresun U. Turkey

Goethe U Frankfurt, Germany GSI, Germany **GWNU**, Korea U. Guanajuato, Mexico Hellenic Open U, Greece **HEPHY.** Austria U Houston, USA **ISMAB-CSIC**, Spain IFAE, Spain IFIC-CSIC, Spain IIT Kanpur, India **IFJ PAN Krakow, Poland INFN**, Italy **INP Minsk, Belarus** U Iowa, USA IPM, Iran UC Irvine, USA Isik U., Turkey Istanbul University, Turkey JAI, UK JINR Dubna, Russia Jefferson LAB, USA FZ Jülich, Germany KAIST, Korea **KEK**, Japan KIAS. Korea King's College London, UK KIT Karlsruhe, Germany KU, Seoul, Korea

Korea U Sejong, Korea U Liverpool, UK U Lund, Sweden U Malta, Malta MAX IV, Sweden MEPhl, Russia **UNIMI**, Milan, Italy MIT, USA Northern Illinois U, USA NC PHEP Minsk, Belarus OIU, Turkey Okan U, Turkey U Oxford, UK **PSI**, Switzerland U. Rostock, Germany RTU, Riga, Latvia UC Santa Barbara, USA Sapienza/Roma, Italy U Siegen, Germany U Silesia, Poland Stanford U, USA U Stuttgart, Germany TAU, Israel **TU Tampere, Finland TOBB**, Turkey **U** Twente, Netherlands TU Vienna, Austria Wigner RCP, Budapest, Hungary Wroclaw UT, Poland





Summary

- Superconductivity is the key enabling technology for FCC in many areas. In particular the Nb3Sn program towards building 16 T model magnets is of prime importance.
- International collaboration is essential to advance with this study on all the challenging subjects and the community is warmly invited to join the FCC efforts.
- HL-LHC is the first step towards future circular colliders
- Next milestone is the FCC Week 2017 in Berlin, to review and confirm baseline design and technology choices.



DPG

FUTURE CIRCULAR COLLIGER CONFERENCE BERLIN, GERMANY 29 MAY - 02 JUNE fccw2017.web.cern.ch