

Contributed Conference Preprints Submitted to SNF Contest:

Selection Criteria for Evaluators

The selection of contributed conference preprints submitted to the SNF Contest for Best Contributed Preprints should be made using the following criteria:

- Topical correctness, absence of obvious errors, appropriate title,
- Originality, novelty, importance and timeliness,
- Satisfactory English grammar and style, absence of major mechanical defects, typos, etc.,
- Adherence to formal rules stipulated by the conference template.

The preprint must be graded for final selection. Only the *very best and most timely* should be selected. Comments on Evaluator's decision or suggestions for correcting that may assist the author are much appreciated, but not required, because SNF may pre-publish only the version submitted to the conference publisher, per general Copyright rules.

The grades are:

- | | |
|---|----------------|
| 1 | Excellent |
| 2 | Very good |
| 3 | Good |
| 4 | Satisfactory |
| 5 | Unsatisfactory |

Preprints rated "excellent and "very good" will be pre-published, with "excellent" as Contest Winners and "very good" as Runners Up. Those rated "excellent", in addition to novelty/importance and freedom of errors, should fully meet the criteria above and not require any corrections. Those rated "very good" can have insignificant defects not affecting the meaning and legibility or not fully adhere to the conference rules. Lower rated preprints will not be published.